

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/519,008	03/03/2000	Roger McAulay	M-15647 US	6539
32605 7590 12/07/2007 MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP 2033 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 400 SAN JOSE, CA 95110			EXAMINER	
			RUDY, ANDREW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5	5.11.1002, 6.1.35110		3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/519,008	MCAULAY ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	-	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
Period for	• •				
WHICH - Extens after Si - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, bly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u>	<u>ugust 2007</u> .			
2a)□ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.			
3)□ 8	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims				
4)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending	g in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8) ⊠ (8	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election requir	ement.		
Applicatio	n Papers				
	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r	•		
•			Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)∐ A a)⊑	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.		
Attachment(s	5)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) D Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte		
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	акет Аррикация		

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-23,25,29,30,32-39,41,42,44-48,51-55,57-62,64-68,70-72 and 87-95.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-23,25,29,30,32-39,41,42,44-48,51-55,57-62,64-68,70-72 and 87-95.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/519,008

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The reply filed on July 25, 2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The status identifiers are not in accord with each claims status, e.g. Withdrawn. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the abovementioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment.

 EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a). Again, the Examiner desires to "wrap up" the present application and regrets the delay. Applicant's REMARKS from the July 25, 2007 Amendment have been reviewed.
- 2. Claims 1-23, 25, 29, 30, 32-39, 41, 42, 44-48, 51-55, 57-62, 64-68, 70-72 and 87-95 are pending.
- 3. Applicant cancelled claims 24, 26-28, 31, 40, 43, 45, 49, 50, 56, 63, 69 and 73-86.
- 4. A restriction requirement follows pursuant to Applicant's REMARKS. This restriction requirement is to be addressed.

Application/Control Number: 09/519,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Election/Restrictions

5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, 25, 39, 41, 42, 44, 46-48, 51-53, 87 and 90-94 drawn to a distributed entertainment system, classified in class 709, subclass 217.
- II. Claims 11-15, 54, 55 and 57-60 drawn to a network entertainment unit, classified in class 725, subclass 93.
- III. Claims 16-23, 61 and 88 drawn to a method for electronic entertainment, classified in class 713, subclass 153.
- IV. Claims 29, 30, 32-34, 62 and 64-67 drawn to a content distribution system, classified in class 706, subclass 10.
- V. Claims 35, 68, 70-72 and 89 drawn to a distributed entertainment system, classified in class 370, subclass 389.
- VI. Claim 95, drawn to an entertainment unit, classified in class 463, subclass 40.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

6. Inventions Group III and Groups I, II, IV-VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the appartus' as claimed can be used to

Application/Control Number: 09/519,008

Art Unit: 3627

practice another materially different process, e.g. providing customized viewer preferences for a video game.

7. Inventions Group I and Groups II, IV-VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination Group I has separate utility such as a video game system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

8. Inventions Group I and Groups II, III, IV-VI are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the

inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed may have a different function or effect, e.g. video game use or television, movie or game show distribution. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 9. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Application/Control Number: 09/519,008

Art Unit: 3627

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 12. The previous February 27, 2007 35 USC 103 rejection under Kleiman, US 5,959,945 is still applicable to independent claim 11 and its dependent claims and needs to be addressed upon Applicant's election.
- 13. The previous February 27, 2007 35 USC 103 rejection under Bulthuis, US 6,978,127 is applicable to independent claim 11 and its dependent claims and also needs to be addressed Applicant's election.
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627